

We Got An Official Confirmed Hindenburg Omen Stock Market Crash Warning Signal On June 13th, 2024

*By Robert McHugh, Ph.D.
June 17th, 2024*

The stock market has generated an official confirmed Hindenburg Omen potential stock market crash warning signal as of June 13th, 2024. It only takes two observations for an official Hindenburg Omen, and oftentimes we see more. This one so far has two observations, the most recent coming on June 13th, 2024, with more possible. The last official Hindenburg Omen came September 11th, 2023 and led to a 6.74% stock market decline through the October 27th, 2023 low.

So, *what is a Hindenburg Omen?* It is the alignment of several technical factors that measure the underlying condition of the stock market — specifically the NYSE — such that the probability of a stock market crash occurring is *higher than normal*, and the probability of a severe decline is quite high. **This Omen has appeared before all of the stock market crashes, or panic events, of the past 39 years.** No stock market crash (a decline greater than 15 percent) has occurred over the past 39 years without the presence of a Hindenburg Omen. *Another way of looking at it is, without an official confirmed Hindenburg Omen, we are pretty safe. On the other hand, if we have an official Hindenburg Omen, then a critical set of market conditions necessary for a stock market crash exists. As of June 13th, 2024, we have such a condition in the market, as we have a new official Hindenburg Omen.* The time horizon for a crash is four months, through October 4th, 2024.

We got a first Hindenburg Omen observation on Thursday, May 23rd, 2024, and a second official confirming Hindenburg Omen observation two weeks later, on Thursday, June 13th, 2024, meaning we are now on the clock watching for a stock market crash, and at the very least a significant decline. What such a signal is telling us is that there is a much higher-

than-random probability of a stock market crash starting sometime over the next four months. All criteria were met Thursday May 23rd, 2024 and again on Thursday, June 13th, 2024. May 23rd's observation saw **84 NYSE New 52 Week Highs, and 71 NYSE New 52 Week Lows according to the Wall Street Journal, the lower of the two coming in at 2.46 percent of the number of NYSE stocks traded, above the 2.2 percent threshold required for a Hindenburg Omen observation. Total NYSE issues traded were 2,886. New Highs were not more than twice New Lows, the McClellan Oscillator was negative at -132.15, and the 10 Week Moving Average (50 Day Moving Average) was higher than it was ten weeks earlier. The second observation on June 13th, 2024 occurred within the required 36-day period necessary for a cluster (two or more observations) to occur. Thursday June 13th's observation saw 69 NYSE New 52 Week Highs, and 65 NYSE New 52 Week Lows according to the Wall Street Journal, the lower of the two coming in at 2.25 percent, above the 2.2 percent threshold required for a Hindenburg Omen observation. Total NYSE issues traded were 2,883. New Highs were not more than twice New Lows, the McClellan Oscillator was negative at negative -8188, and the 10 Week Moving Average (50 Day Moving Average) was higher than it was 10 weeks earlier. It will be interesting to see if after this H.O. became official on June 13th, will there be additional observations, because if there are five or more observations within a 36 day period, the historic probability of an ensuing crash rises significantly.**

This is the first Hindenburg Omen since September 11th, 2023, and only the sixty-second over the past 39 years, since 1985. We got crashes after fourteen of the 61 completed Hindenburg Omens over the past 39 years, 23.0 percent of the time. However, if we consider Omens that have five observations or more, crashes occurred 31.4 percent of the time.

The way Peter Eliades put it in his Daily Update, September 21, 2005 (www.stockcycles.com), “The rationale behind the indicator is that, under normal conditions, either a substantial number of stocks establish new annual highs or a large number set new lows — **but not both.**” When both new highs and new lows are large, “it indicates the market is undergoing a

period of extreme divergence — many stocks establishing new highs and many setting new lows as well. Such divergence is not usually conducive to future rising prices. A healthy market requires some semblance of internal uniformity, and it doesn't matter what direction that uniformity takes. Many new highs and very few lows are obviously bullish, but so are a great many new lows accompanied by few or no new highs. This is the condition that leads to important market bottoms.”

A brief history on the origin and evolution of the Hindenburg Omen signal: It was originally adopted by the late Jim Miekka, the former editor and publisher of The Sudbury Bull and Bear Report who passed away in 2014, and it was derived from a New High – New Low indicator developed by Gerald Appel many years ago. Because it signals the possibility of a stock market crash, my good friend, the late Kennedy Gammage, a terrific technical analyst in his own right, dubbed it the Hindenburg Omen after the famous ill-fated aircraft associated with the word “crash.”

How has this signal performed over the past 39 years, since 1985? The traditional definition of a Hindenburg Omen was that the daily number of NYSE New 52 Week Highs and the Daily number of New 52 Week Lows must both be so high as to have the lesser of the two be greater than 2.2 percent of total NYSE issues traded that day. However, this is just condition number one. The traditional definition had two more filters: That the NYSE 10 Week Moving Average is also Rising, which we consider met if it is higher than the level at any time during the previous 10 weeks (condition # 2), and that the McClellan Oscillator is negative on that same day (condition # 3). We calculate these measures each evening at **www.technicalindicatorindex.com** using *Wall Street Journal* figures for consistency. We consider the Wall Street Journal's data as “official.” Critics have taken this Hindenburg Omen definition and pointed rightly to several failed Omens. In other words, with just these three filters defining a Hindenburg Omen, there were too many false positives to render the indicator useful. I conducted research, convinced that this indicator had strong potential to predict periods of extreme stock market declines, and came up with two more filters that vastly improved the predictive value of

this indicator. *My proprietary research discovered that if we add two more filters, then the correlation of this Hindenburg Omen condition to subsequent severe stock market declines becomes quite remarkable. I added* condition # 4, which requires that New 52 Week NYSE Highs cannot be more than twice New 52 Week Lows; however, it is okay for New 52 Week Lows to be more than double New 52 Week Highs. My research found that there were two incidences where the first three conditions existed, but New Highs were more than double New Lows, and no market decline resulted. There were no instances noted where if 52 Week Highs were more than double New Lows, while the first three conditions were met, that a severe decline followed. So, condition # 4 becomes a critical defining component.

I also added condition # 5: The fifth condition I found important for high correlation is that for a confirmed Hindenburg Omen, in other words for it to be “official,” there must be more than one signal within a 36-day period, i.e., *there must be a cluster of Hindenburg Omens (defined as two or more) to substantially increase the probability of a coming stock market plunge*. My research noted twelve instances over a 36 year period — using the first four conditions — where there was just one isolated Hindenburg Omen signal over a thirty-six-day period. In eleven of the twelve instances, no sharp declines followed. In only one instance did a sharp subsequent sell-off occur based upon a non-cluster single Omen, but in that case, it was incredibly close to having a cluster of two Omens as the previous day’s McClellan Oscillator just missed being negative by a few points. I included this instance in my data that follows.

*So, to recap, we have an unconfirmed Hindenburg Omen if the first four conditions are met, but the fifth is not — in other words we only have one signal within a 36-day period. Once a second or more Omen observation occurs, we then have a *confirmed and official* Hindenburg Omen signal with substantially higher odds that a subsequent stock market plunge is coming.*

My research noted that plunges can occur as soon as the next day, or as far into the future as four months from the date of the last observation

within that H.O. event's cluster. In either case, the warning is useful. It just means, if you want to play the short side after a confirmed signal, or move out of harms way, you must be prepared to see it happen as soon as the next day, or four months from now, possibly after you forgot about it. About half occurred within 41 days.

Based upon the five parameters noted above, here's what I found:

Confirmed Hindenburg Omens are very rare. There have been only 62 confirmed Hindenburg Omen signals over the past 39 years. June 13th, 2024's is the 62nd. This is amazing when you consider that during that time span, there were roughly 9,750 trading days. Of those 9,750 trading days where it was possible to generate a confirmed official Hindenburg Omen, only 367 (3.8 percent) generated one, clustering into 62 confirmed potential stock market crash signals. This is a very rare alignment, a rare but potentially dangerous condition in the stock market.

If we define a crash as a 15% decline, of the previous 61 confirmed Hindenburg Omen signals, fourteen (23.0 percent) were followed by financial system threatening, life-as-we-know-it threatening stock market crashes (I included the Crash of August 2015 which saw a 14.79% plunge from the date of the H.O. observation because the actual drop from the highs just after the H.O. was 15.28%). Six (9.8 percent) more were followed by stock market selling panics (10% to 14.9% declines). Six more (9.8 percent) resulted in sharp declines (8% to 9.9% drops). Ten (15.1 percent) were followed by meaningful declines (5% to 7.9%), fourteen (23.0 percent) saw mild declines (2.0% to 4.9%), and eleven (18.0 percent) were failures, with subsequent declines of 2.0% or less. Put another way, **there is a 23.0 percent probability that a stock market crash — the big one — will occur after we get a confirmed (more than one in a cluster) Hindenburg Omen.** ***There is a 32.8 percent probability that at least a panic sell-off will occur (a decline greater than 10 percent). There is a 42.6 percent probability that a sharp decline greater than 8.0 % will occur, and there is a 59.0 percent probability that a stock market decline of at least 5 percent will occur.*** Only one out of roughly 5.6 times will this signal fail.

So far, the stock market has generated two days of Hindenburg Omen observations from May 23rd, 2024 through June 13th, 2024, for the “Official” Omen cluster on the clock since June 13th. ***I did some data mining and went back over all the H.O.’s since 1985 to see if official Omen’s with five or more observations saw a higher probability of a significant decline than if an official Omen has less than five observations. There was a difference.*** Of the 61 official H.O.’s since 1985, 35 had five or more observations. If we had a five observation or more H.O., 31.4 percent of the time a stock market crash occurred afterwards, versus only 23.0 percent if we consider H.O.’s that had two or more observations. ***That is a significant increase in risk.*** However, if we gauge the odds of a decline of 10% or greater, when five or more observations accompanied an Omen, ***34.2% of the time we saw a decline greater than 10%*** versus 32.8 percent if all Omens are considered regardless of the number of observations for each. ***So, to put this in terms of the damage possible, if we have a five observation Hindenburg Omen on the clock, there is approximately a one out of 3.2 chance that the Industrials will crash, and nearly a one out of 2.9 chance we see a stock market plunge greater than 10 percent. As for the current June 13th, 2024 Official H.O. signal, it is young, so there is plenty of time for it to increase to a 5 or greater observation H.O. signal.*** At the very least we can say that the stock market sits in a fragile state at this time, and a black swan event (an unexpected fearful news development) during this period could do significant damage to the stock market.

So how has this stock market crash indicator performed? All the biggies over the past 36 years were preceded and identified by this signal (as defined with our five conditions). It was on the clock at the start of the ***stock market crash of February/March 2020***, and was also on the clock for the ***stock market crash of 2018***; it was in play just before the August 2015 plunge, and was present a few weeks before ***the stock market crash of the autumn of 2008***. It was present and accounted for a few weeks before the ***stock market crash of 1987***; it was also there three trading days before the ***mini crash panic of October 1989***; it showed up at the start of the ***1990 recession***; and it warned about trouble a few weeks prior to the ***L.T.C.M and Asian crises of 1998***; it announced that all was not right with the world after

Y2K, telling us early 2000 was going to see a precipitous decline. The Hindenburg Omen gave us a three-month heads-up on **9/11** (2001), and told us we would see panic selling into an **October 2002 low**; warned in October 2007 that a multi-month plunge was about to start, from the DJIA's all-time high. **And it was on the clock three months before the stock market crash of the autumn 2008 into spring 2009 that wiped out 47.3 percent of the stock market's value.** Our subscribers at www.technicalindicatorindex.com were informed immediately as these signals were generated.

Here's the data for all Hindenburg Omens over the past 36 years:

<u>Date of first Hindenburg Omen Signal</u>	<u># of Signals In Cluster</u>	<u>DJIA Subsequent % Decline</u>	<u>Time Until Decline Bottomed</u>
6/13/2024	2	Watching	Watching
9/12/2023	2	6.74%	46 days
4/12/2022	5	15.05%	70 days
3/7/2022	3	10.94%	108 days
1/18/2022	2	15.06%	126 days
11/18/2021	6	9.32%	101 days
10/4/2021	2	1.39%	3 days
8/17/2021	3	5.06%	55 days
3/4/2021	2	2.68%	2 days
1/30/2020	6	36.88%	53 days
11/13/2019	6	34.44%	127 days
10/9/2019	2	0.01%	1 day
7/22/2019	14	6.74%	24 days
5/20/2019	10	3.89%	14 days
9/21/2018	5	18.81%	96 days
8/14/2018	14	5.60%	118 days
6/18/2018	3	3.96 %	10 days
1/29/2018	4	11.70 %	63 days
11/2/2017	8	1.04 %	9 days
5/31/2017	9	1.59 %	2 days
12/15/2016	2	0.58 %	35 days
11/9 2016	3	0.00 %	120 days
7/22/2015	3	13.89 %	33 days
6/11/2015	5	14.79 %	74 days
3/16/2015	3	2.21 %	10 days
1/6/2015	7	1.92 %	27 days
12/2/2014	8	4.54%	14 days
9/19/2014	2	8.24 %	26 days
12/06/2013	5	4.2 %	62 days

8/06/2013	6	4.8 %	24 days
5/31/2013	5	3.7 %	27 Days
11/12/2012	2	2.7 %	5 days
7/24/2012	2	1.1 %	115 days
12/14/2010	2	0.5 %	2 days
8/12/2010	6	3.7 %	15 days
6/06/2008	6	47.3 %	276 days
10/16/2007	9	16.3 %	99 days
6/13/2007	8	7.1 %	64 days
4/07/2006	9	7.0 %	34 days
9/21/2005 (1)	5	2.2 %	22 days
4/13/2004 (2)	5	5.4 %	30 days
6/20/2002	5	23.9 %	112 days
6/20/2001	2	25.5 %	93 days
3/12/2001	4	11.4 %	11 days
9/15/2000	9	12.4 %	33 days
7/26/2000	3	9.0 %	83 days
1/24/2000	6	16.4 %	44 days
6/15/1999	2	6.7 %	122 days
2/22/1998 (3)	2	0.2 %	1 day
7/21/1998	1	19.7 %	41 days
12/11/1997	11	5.8 %	32 days
6/12/1996	3	8.8 %	34 days
10/09/1995	6	1.7 %	1 day
9/19/1994	7	8.2 %	65 days
1/25/1994	14	9.6 %	69 days
11/03/1993	3	2.1%	2 days
12/02/1991	9	3.5%	7 days
6/27/1990	17	16.3%	91 days
11/01/1989	36	5.0%	91 days
10/11/1989	2	10.0%	5 days
9/14/1987	5	38.2%	36 days
7/14/1986	9	3.6%	21 days

(1) In September 2005, the Fed pumped \$148 billion in liquidity from the first week in September, just before the Hindenburg Omens were generated — to the third week of October, an 11 percent annual rate of growth in M-3 (2.5 times the rate of GDP growth and 5 times the reported inflation rate), to stave off a crash. The liquidity held the market to a 2.2 percent decline from the initiation of the signal.

(2) In April 2004, the Fed pumped \$155 billion in liquidity from the last week in April — right after the Hindenburg Omens were generated — to the third week of May, a 22 percent annual rate of growth in M-3, to stave off a crash. Even with the liquidity, the market still fell 5.0 percent.

(3) The 12/23/1998 signal barely qualified, as the McClellan Oscillator was barely negative at -9, and New Highs were nearly double New Lows. Had this weak signal not occurred, condition # 5 would not have been met. This skin-of-the-teeth confirmation may be why it failed. It says something for having multiple, strong confirming signals.

Another point to make here is that the actual stock market declines are often greater than the measures in the prior data chart. That's because oftentimes the decline from a top has already started before the Hindenburg Omens have been generated, or the highs may appear a few days after the H.O. occurs. These percent declines are only measuring the declines from the second Omen in a cluster. If we measured declines from the tops, it would be worse in many cases. For example, the September 2005 signals came after the September 12th high of 10,701. The autumn decline of 2005 into October 13th, 2005 bottom ended up being 545 points (5 percent) even with all the liquidity pumping by the Fed.

Here's something interesting: Oftentimes equities will rally after a Hindenburg Omen occurs, faking folks out, then the plunge comes on the other side of the hilltop. 1987 is a perfect example of that. This also occurred in 2015.

Another observation is that once you get two solid Hindenburg Omens in a cluster, ***the severity of the decline does not seem to increase as more Omens occur within the cluster.*** Sometimes a two-signal cluster produced a worse decline than a 5, 11, or 17 signal cluster. But what can be said about multiple signal clusters is that the warnings are being given further out in time, keeping us on the alert, and ***once we get more than five, the probability that a crash, or a major decline, does in fact increase.*** More observations for signals also assure us a greater likelihood of better-quality signals, which seems to matter. What I mean by this is multiple signals are telling us things are not getting better, that something continues to remain wrong with the market.

What does it mean for traders and investors when we get a confirmed Hindenburg Omen? This is really important to understand. ***A confirmed Hindenburg Omen is not a guarantee of a stock market crash. The odds of a crash based upon the history since 1985 is 23.0 percent, and in the case of more than five H.O. observations, is 31.4 percent. That means the odds we will not have a crash are quite high, at 77.0 percent (68.6 percent***

if more than 5 observations). However, since a stock market crash is akin to economic death in many circles, you can look at the situation like this. If you were hearing from your doctor that the surgery you are contemplating stands a 23.0 percent chance of you dying (or 31.4% if more than five H.O.'s), about one chance out of four (or one chance in 3.3), that becomes a very high percentage probability – one you likely do not want to take if the surgery is not absolutely necessary. A 23.0 percent (31.4% if more than five H.O.'s) probability of a stock market crash is extremely high when you consider that there have been only fourteen over the past thirty-nine years, and the normal odds of a crash happening randomly on any day are only about one-tenth of one percent. ***You now also have to factor that the Fed is likely to pump liquidity into markets to prevent crashes once these signals occur.*** That clearly occurred after the December 2010 Omen signal, QE 2. ***So you do not want to short the farm.*** You may want to think about taking prudent precautionary action according to your investment adviser given the much higher than normal odds of a crash. That may not mean shorting. It may mean increasing cash positions or hitting the sidelines for a while. Or it may mean a carefully constructed shorting strategy developed with your adviser that limits losses, and invests only the amount which you can afford to lose. Still, it is interesting that even with the heavy liquidity the Fed has been pumping around the time of past signals, ***the odds of a 5 percent decline or more remain pretty high at 59.0 percent.***

We do not think it is wise to listen to folks who minimize the risk in markets pointed out by the Hindenburg Omen. We disagree with the argument that since so many of the listings on the NYSE, especially those of the New High “stock” group recorded for the Omen, are some type of Fixed Income product (ETFs, preferred stocks, etc) that the Omen isn’t really capturing “stocks” when it says “we got x % New Stock Highs,” therefore the Omen is irrelevant. Our position is that the argument that the “stock market Omen” isn’t measuring the internals of the “stock” market is false. Here is why: A huge percent of NYSE stocks are financials, banking firms, and include private equity firms that are financial firms, although many people would not think of them that way. Financial firms hold substantial positions in bonds. Almost every bank listed on the NYSE carries

a fixed income bond portfolio somewhere between 15 and 30 percent of their entire balance sheet, and have for years, going back far beyond the past 36 years of our research, a period of time when the Hindenburg Omen worked just fine. Bond and other fixed income products are prevalent throughout the distribution of companies listed NYSE, and have been for years. This Omen has worked for at least the past 39 years. It accurately called the stock market crashes of 2007 and 2008 when the NYSE included many stocks holding significant positions in fixed income instruments. And it worked as recently as 2020 and 2022 where we saw crashes. It does not matter. Our entire economy has essentially moved from a manufacturing base to a financial / technology base. *This makes the Hindenburg Omen relevant. We believe it would be unwise to ignore this potential stock market crash warning.*

At McHugh's www.technicalindicatorindex.com we offer subscriptions that offer daily and weekend market forecast newsletters and analysis, including proprietary Buy/Sell indicators that help us identify when the next significant move is starting for the stock market, as well as for Gold, Silver and Mining stocks, and in which direction the move will develop. We publish these indicators in every Daily and Expanded Weekend Newsletter to subscribers.

Email us now with questions and comments at www.technicalindicatorindex.com

“Jesus said to them, “I am the bread of life; he who comes to Me shall not hunger, and he who believes in Me shall never thirst. For I have come down from heaven, For this is the will of My Father, that everyone who beholds the Son and believes in Him, may have eternal life; and I Myself will raise him up on the last day.”

John 6: 35, 38, 40

“Let not your heart be troubled; believe in God, believe also in Me. In My Father’s house are many mansions; if *that* were not so, I would have told you, because I am going *there* to prepare a place for you. And if I go and

prepare a place for you, I am coming again and will take you to Myself, so that where I am, *there* you also will be. And you know the way where I am going.” Thomas said to Him, “Lord, we do not know where You are going; how do we know the way?” Jesus said to him, “I am the way, and the truth, and the life; no one comes to the Father except through Me.”

John 14:1-6

***“For I know the plans I have for you,” declares the LORD,
“plans to prosper you and not to harm you,
plans to give you hope and a future.”***

Jeremiah 29:11

Robert McHugh Ph.D. is President and CEO of Main Line Investors, Inc., a registered investment advisor in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and can be reached at www.technicalindicatorindex.com. The statements, opinions, buy and sell signals, and analyses presented in this newsletter are provided as a general information and education service only. Opinions, estimates, buy and sell signals, and probabilities expressed herein constitute the judgment of the author as of the date indicated and are subject to change without notice. Nothing contained in this newsletter is intended to be, nor shall it be construed as, investment advice, nor is it to be relied upon in making any investment or other decision. Prior to making any investment decision, you are advised to consult with your broker, investment advisor or other appropriate tax or financial professional to determine the suitability of any investment. Neither Main Line Investors, Inc. nor Robert D. McHugh, Jr., Ph.D. Editor shall be responsible or have any liability for investment decisions based upon, or the results obtained from, the information provided. Copyright 2024, Main Line Investors, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

